United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 . www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,254	07/02/2003	Michael Lambright	121046-001	3335	
35684 DUTZEL LON	7590 01/22/2008		EXAMINER		
BUTZEL LONG 350 SOUTH MAIN STREET			ADAMS, GREGORY W		
SUITE 300 ANN ARBOR, MI 48104			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ANN ARBOR,	C, WII 40104		3652		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/22/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@butzel.com burns@butzel.com ball@butzel.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief		10/612,254	LAMBRIGHT, MI	CHAEL		
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Gregory W. Adams	3652			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	address		
The Ap	opeal Brief filed on <u>12 October 2007</u> is defective	for failure to comply with on	e or more provisions (of 37 CFR 41.37		
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAI	he mailing date of this Notifi	ication, whichever is k			
1. 🛚	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or th	e items are not under	the proper		
2.	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise expland claims involved in the appeal, referring to the siby reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fair appeal and for each dependent claim argued significant solution. The sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set that as corresponding to each claimed function with the drawings, if any, by reference characters (3)	pecification by page and line Is to: (1) identify, for each in eparately, every means plus forth the structure, material, a reference to the specification	e number and to the d dependent claim invo s function and step plu or acts described in the	drawings, if any, loved in the us function unde he specification		
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8. 🖾	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):				
	Note 1. Each heading must include a roman numera III, Status of Claims,, VIII Claims Appendix, IX Evi					
/ _/ \	Note 8. The evidence appendix does not include copprosecution. Any entered evidence is that which was examiner.	s considered prior to the close	prosecution as noted SAUL RODRIGUE	by the		
JU!	1,118	SUPE	RVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)